

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated 24 February 2005. Responsive to the rejections made in the Official Action, Claims 1 – 10 have been amended to correct the language thereof.

In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings because several reference numerals in Figs. 2 and 4A were incorrect. Accordingly, corrected formal drawings have been provided to replace the original drawings of Figs. 2 and 4A.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1 – 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. However, the Examiner kindly indicated that the claims would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Claims 1 – 10 have been amended to correct the language thereof. Indefiniteness, antecedent basis and improper phrases have been corrected by this Amendment. It is now believed that the claims particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that Applicant regards as the invention.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

FOR ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "David I. Klein". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name and registration number.

David I. Klein
Registration #33,253

Dated: 19 May 2005

Rosenberg, Klein & Lee
Suite 101
3458 Ellicott Center Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043
(410) 465-6678

Customer No.
04586

AMENDMENT TO THE DRAWINGS

The attached two drawing sheets include a change to Figs. 2 and 4A, which sheets respectively replace the original sheets that included Figs. 2 and 4A thereon. In each of Figs. 2 and 4A, reference numeral errors have been corrected.

Attachment: Two replacement sheets.